

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/628,559		07/29/2003	David Gross	030157-0305213	4747		
909	7590	03/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PILLSBUF	NIW YS	THROP SHAW PI	PERRIN, JOSEPH L				
P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN,		102		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,				1746			
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/628,559	GROSS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.	1746				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES on STATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		ts is			
Disnositi	on of Claims						
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-12 and 16-30 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Corection to drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 15 December 2005.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. In view of applicant's amendment, the claim rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph and the claim objection have been withdrawn. Thus, applicant's arguments in this regard are rendered moot. Regarding claims 13-14, applicant's assertions are correct in that claims 13-14 were allowed in the previous action and inadvertently included in the 112 rejection. Any confusion in this respect is regretted.
- 2. Applicant's arguments in view of the amendment filed, with respect to 15

 December 2005 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The §§ 102 & 103

 rejections over FRATTINI have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-12 & 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed "movable member" is not described in the specification and is considered new matter.

Art Unit: 1746

- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-12 & 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a spring biased movable member, does not reasonably provide enablement for the broader language of a "movable member". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant's disclosure is not enabled for other types of movable members other than a spring biased movable member. Accordingly, the attempt to claim the genus (i.e. all movable members) when only a particular species is claimed (i.e. a spring biased movable member) has resulted in the scope of enablement rejection.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 24, the preamble is directed to a "cleaner assembly". However, the body of the claim does not positively recite any cleaning means nor does applicant's original disclosure support any description of cleaning other than ultrasonic cleaning. Thus, it is unclear what is meant by "cleaner assembly". Clarification and correction are required.

Art Unit: 1746

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 13-14 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30, except alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1746

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

And Ollow

Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746